# Supporting Academic & Professional Growth In Higher Ed

Are You Compliant? How To Train Your Title IX Appeals Officers

**Brett A. Sokolow, Esq** President & CEO The NCHERM Group, LLC



# Objectives



# Chat Question I

How many layers of appeal do you have in Title IX cases on your campus? 1/2/3/4



#### Please use the chat feature to submit your reply.

### Chat Question II

Do you have the same number of layers for all respondents, whether faculty, students or staff?

#### Yes or no?

#### Please use the chat feature to submit your reply.

# Introduction

- Title IX, VAWA Section 304 and Appeals Best Practices
- Appeals are not required by federal law



# What is Covered?

- Under VAWA Section 304, and Title IX, the principles of appeals covered in this webinar apply to:
  - Sexual Violence
  - Dating Violence
  - Domestic Violence (IPV)
  - Stalking
  - Sexual Harassment
  - Other forms of physical and non-physical sexual, sexbased or gender-based discrimination (could include hazing, bullying, bias offenses, etc.)

## **Appeals: Key Elements**

One level of appeal is all you need

Limit the grounds for appeal

### Show deference to initial decision

 Clear error in finding; Compelling justification to change sanctions

### Sanctions usually take effect immediately

Short window to request an appeal (3-7 days is common)

 Can always grant an extension if necessary

### Document-based and recording review

• Limited interviews; NOT *de novo* 

Appeals should not be automatic; based on request Preponderance of evidence is standard for decision The Philosophical Basis for Appeals

- Making sure the procedures were followed to a fair result
- That result may not be how you would have decided it, but the goal is to show deference on appeal unless there is <u>clear</u> <u>error</u>.
- Initial investigation and decision should be presumptively sufficient until evidence shows otherwise.
- Under Title IX, many appeals now implicate sufficiency of investigation, a new concern.

# The Philosophical Basis for Appeals

- With the investigation now under a microscope on appeal, a layer of Title IX Coordinator sign-off after investigation is critical to the integrity of the investigation process (Facebook example)
- You may disagree with sanction, but there must be a **<u>compelling justification</u>** to change it, not mere disagreement
- Sanctions must bring an end to discrimination and reasonably prevent its reoccurrence (Title IX)
- Remedies must repair the harm; make whole the victim and the community

# When Appeals Go Off the Rails

Interventionist appeals officers who believe it is their job to second-guess	Granting appeals for the chance at an education conversation/to teach a lesson	The liability risk of a too strong appellate authority
Hierarchs as appeals officers a common practice and often a mistake	Failure of adequate training	Too much deference can also bite you (if the initial decision is wrong, or results from lack of training, you do have to set things right)

- Remand. Your judgment is not better than that of others in the process. If there is a problem and you can send it back, do so.
- Problems with investigation can be repaired by reopening the investigation, or in rare cases, by reinvestigation.
- Problems with hearings can often by fixed by limited re-hearing. Re-dos should be rare.
- 80-90% of appeals should be denied. Of the remaining 10-20%, almost all should be remanded.

- Joinder. Title IX sets up the potential for appeals of appeals of appeals.
- To avoid this (and you want to avoid it), you'll need a one-level appeal process (more levels are just bureaucracy and are not needed) where all parties are joined in the appeal regardless of whether they petition for it, and irrespective of what grounds are asserted.
- All viable grounds should be joined in one reconsideration with all parties participating.

- Appeals are typically in writing.
- Review the investigation report, the hearing record and all available documents.
- Interview the investigators, Coordinator, and/or hearing officers/panelists
- You may conduct limited interviews with the parties or witnesses as necessary, but avoid a full re-hearing. If needed, remand.
- Make an independent and impartial determination.
- Grounds for appeal or changes must be shown by evidence that is "more likely than not" under Title IX.

- Letters of outcome, including finding, any sanctions, and rationale therefore are applicable to appeals decisions under VAWA Section 304.
- Rights to advisors of the parties' choosing are as applicable to appeals as to any other stage in the process.
- If you are not eligible to hear appeals (Provost, President, etc.), refer the angry parent on the phone to whomever is the proper official.

- If the initial decision is presumptively correct, implement the sanctions immediately, not pending appeal.
- Coordinators or other administrators should have discretion to stay implementation of sanctions on a case-by-case basis, but the default should be that they go into effect immediately.
- Otherwise, we are saying the sanctions are presumptively incorrect until the appeal says they are ok.
- If that is the case, why not just skip the hearing and go right to the appeal?

## **Appeals: Grounds for Appeal**

A procedural error or omission occurred that significantly impacted the finding (e.g. substantiated bias, material deviation from established procedures). To consider new evidence, unknown or unavailable during the original hearing or investigation, that could substantially impact the original finding or sanction.

• A summary of this new evidence and its potential impact must be included. The sanctions imposed are substantially disproportionate to the severity of the violation [or: The sanctions fall outside the range of sanctions the University/College has designated for this offense and the cumulative record of the accused individual].

# **Appeals Logistics**

Petition for Appeal – Reviewed by single administrator

> Initial review of appeal to determine whether it states grounds upon which relief can be granted

> > Petition Denied or Accepted; If accepted...

Two models: Single Administrator or Panel

#### Single Trained Administrator

• E.g.: VPSA, Director of HR, Associate Provost, Coordinator

#### Trained Appeals Panel

• Three panelists from the pool who have not yet otherwise participated or had knowledge of the facts

# **Communication Protocols**

The status of the appeal is part of the status of the investigation. The reporting party is entitled to regular updates on this status.



When one party requests an appeal, the other party(ies) should be notified, and joined, either on the same basis that the appeal has been requested, or on other bases that they wish to assert as grounds.

Exchange the appeals documents and requests between the parties, with an opportunity to respond.

# Appeals: Possible Outcomes

- Request for appeal denied
  - Decision stands
- Request for appeal granted
  - Decision stands
    - This should be the norm
  - Remand
    - To correct a procedural error or address new evidence
      - Re-open investigation (full redo uncommon)
      - Re-Hearing (usually partial, unless full rehearing required)
    - To adjust the sanction
  - Remand or sanction adjustment by Appellate Body

# **Appeals: The Process**



# WWW.ATIXA.ORG

https://atixa.org/	0 - Q	🥭 Title IX Membership: ATIXA: 🗴			
(		a	Userna Passwo	Member Login Username Password Remember Me LOGIN	
Association	of Title	Members Resour	ces Events	Partners Cont	act
Report • 2015 ATIX	FAQs and WH Task Forc	e			
<ul> <li><u>Membershi</u></li> <li><u>Certificatio</u></li> <li><u>Register as</u></li> <li><u>Free Resou</u></li> <li><u>News</u></li> <li><u>FAQ</u></li> </ul>	<u>n Events</u> a Title IX Coordinator	Welcom	e to ATIXA	0	
ΑΤΙΧΑ Ν	lember Benefits				

# **Questions?**



# Innovative Educators

Supporting Academic & Professional Growth In Higher Ed



#### Brett A. Sokolow, Esq

President & CEO The NCHERM Group, LLC <u>BRETT@NCHERM.ORG</u>